App. F. IX—4

1916. (Nuzrat.

File No. 604-16
Serial Nos. 1-2

Previous References.
M. J. 215-13
do - 21-06
do - 103-07 (mis. papers)

Later References.
611-20
316-22 Sls 1-5
94-23 Sls 1 & 2
Mis: 36-35 13-24-1-6
Sls 20, 21.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B for NOV. 17.

SUBJECT

Inam lands enjoyed by Godhuvrah's
Mutt at Bangalore.

WD 05150—GPB—35,000—18-10-56

To. D. C. Bangalore and Comptr. to Govt
No. 490-16 23-11-17.

Approves of the D.C's proposal to allow the present tenants to continue in possession of the inam portion of the land for a period of 30 years on an annual rent of Rs 30 and directs that separate reference be made in the event of any reasonable claim for enhancement being put forward by the enfranchised building on the land in question.

By Order,
Sd/- [illegible]
23/11/18

G. R. S IX-5]

Previous references.
In J. 215—13.
00—21—06
00—103—07 (Mus Letters)

1916
(Inamkai)

File No. 604-16

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references.
611-20
316-22 Sh 1-5
914-23 Sh 1 to 2
13-24-1-6.
MU 36-35 to 20, 21

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 1 — 2.

Part B for Nov. 17

SUBJECT

Inam Lands enfranchised to Godhuriah's Natt at Bangalore.

To, D.C. Bangalore & Comptr. to Govt

No 1190—1 D. 23.11.17.

Approves of the D.C's proposal to allow the present tenants to continue in possn. of the built portion of the land for a period of 50 years on payment of an annual rent of Rs 30/- & directs that a separate refn. be made for fine recoverable from the party for unauthorized building on the land in question

W D 88—GPb—50,000—24 7 16

R. Appadurai
Asst. to Govt. D. 26/11/18

100 8-9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Miscellaneous)

NOTES.

File No. 101-05

File Heading.

Re the Inam lands resumed in the Government estate at Bangalore

From

Keller Mathada Spinners of Bangalore

No	Dated	Received	
Diary No. 152	[illegible]	[illegible]	Serial No. 4

Brief Abstract or Letter.

Petitioners that the order of Govt directing the restoration of certain Inam lands resumed from the Government's estate at Bangalore were not carried out effectively by the Local authorities.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Govt Order No. RB 11-3 Dated 2 Sept 1907. confirms the order of the Govt Director in the matter of the resumption and restoration of certain alienated Inam lands belonging to the Agricultural estate

Notes—First sheet

GPB—30/000—3.07

Serial No.

at Bangalore

The petition (1) subsequently received from Siddiah complaining that the lands were not restored to the math, was forwarded to the Dy. Commr Bangalore District, with (1) the enquiries as to what action was taken on the said order

There was another complaint petition from Basappa and a number of other residents from Bangalore stating that Siddiah has no right to the institution, and that the lands may not be given over to him and praying that a competent Jyga may be appointed to the math The petition was forwarded for disposal to the Dy Commissioner

Siddiah the appointed Jyga that Virupa from whom you have found fault has not been put in possession of the property Mr Govindan Jyga that Virupa took in to the town with the drum and speaking any individual.

Seen to B Ca
Circulate Jyga that Virupa
called upon to be produced.
B.
D.H. Mulvany 8/XII/08 — D. H. Carr

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5.08

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 103 of 1907-08

(3)

Serial No.

Submitted to Hon'ble Coun cillor with reference to his remarks on Reminder petition D. 1089

A report from the Dy. Comr., Ade An urgent reminder has been issued this day.

Rhodenmayr
29.12.1910

Seen
31/12/10

Issue three reminders
3.1.11

6. Forwards in cont. 8 & 6 for report (Vide Docket no. 277 dy 9.1.1911)

7 From the Dy Comr. Bangalore Dr 16 & 4 9 11
Submits a detailed report of action taken in the matter of restoration of the alienated Inam lands belonging to the Sri Dharmada matt at Bangalore.

The facts of the case are clearly set forth in G.O No. 1311-3 dy 3.9.07 a printed copy of which is in the file

Certain Swadaya Inam lands belonging to the Sodhemaja matt at Bangalore have been alienated, and the above order directed the restoration thereof to the matt.

The Amildar when trying to bring this order into effect, found that the occupants raised objections to restore them on the ground that they have

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

spent large sums of money in raising building thereon, and reported this to the Sub Dn Officer in his report 29 of 7.2.08.

There was some correspondence between the Sub Dn Officer & the Amildar about taking steps in respect of the lands under Notn no. 266 of 17. nov: (87), and a draft warrant in accordance with Sec 209 of the Rev: Code for arrest & eviction of the parties in possession was submitted to the Dy Comr: for Signature. The Dy Comr: sent it back with orders* that the Taluk authorities should personally go and try to take possession of the properties and that if any resistance was offered to them, they should report the matter. Sec 209 of the Rev code empowered the Sub Dn Officer himself to take the necessary steps.

* Dy comr's order No 418 of 13.7.08

The Sub Dn Officer Mr. C. Ramachar (now retired from service) says in his report No 247 of 22.9.08 as follows:-

"Action under clause B of the "notification of 1877 should not be taken "indiscriminately in all cases of such unauthorized alienations. If people have "obtained such lands for valuable consi-

Serial No.

"sideration ~~without~~ out-grievance,
"compensation for their losses will
"have to be paid to them by the aliences,
"and if the latter are not willing to
"pay compensation in case the notifica-
"tion is an insignificant one, the
"land should be fully assessed, under
"para 5 of this notification

"In the case in point, there are ~~land~~
"buildings &c on the lands to be taken
"possession of, and the present occupants
"~~are~~ wish for the payment of compensation
"The notification ~~the~~ is not clear as
"to how the lands should be summarily
"resumed ~~case~~ if the parties do not
"give them up even after service of notices.
"~~Action~~ action is being taken therefore under
"Sec 205 of L. R. Code which corresponds
"to Sec 222 of the Bombay Code. Under
"Sec 89 (Bombay Code Sec 61) L.R.C., no
"summary eviction of the parties in
"illegal possession is possible. Civil
"action is necessary in such a case

if such possession has been before the introduction of the Code.

"As their ~~representation~~ claim for
"compensation is reasonable, they cannot
"be summarily evicted under the
"L. R. Code.

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—50 00 1-12 8 10

Serial
No.

As for the issue of warrants on the parties concerned, no authority is given to any Officer but the Dy Comr. Even in respect of failure to pay Kandayam Rule 97 (a) of Road Rules says that "the Dy Comr alone has for that power. "to under this section (209 of L.R.C) "also I have no authority to "arrest the parties in question.

"The Sujan Siddayya having in 1890 "filed a suit in the Distt Judge's court, "a Dgi decree has been granted that "Rs 26 per year should be given by "the Respondents Sovindappa & others "to the Sujan. This Amt has to be "recovered from them for payment "to the Sujan.

"For the possession of the land, a "Civil action is necessary".

In the meantime a Petn signed by Basappa & others (No 517 dt 31.7.08) recd in this Office was sent to the Dy Comr for investigation. The Petn stated that Petr Siddayya has no right to the properties of Goodhuriah's matt, that there has been no swami in the matt for

X H L.

GPB—50,001—12 8 10

Serial
No.

Several years and prayed that ~~the~~ the lands might not be put in possession of Siddaraja & that for ~~the~~ might take the matt & its endowments under their management until a proper agra is appointed in consultation with the Swami of Murgi matt

About the same time, Pattadi Sovindappa & other occupants gave a petition to the Dy Comr praying that the lands in question might be given to them on full assessment as they have incurred a large outlay on the buildings ~~&~~ raised on the lands. This was sent along with the other Petn & the Taluk authorities for a report as to 1) who have erected buildings on the Inam lands. 2) at what cost. 3) What will be lease amt for which they are willing to take up the lands & the buildings thereon. & 4) Whether the Godheriek matt is an important one? ~~&~~

The amildar in his final report R.O. 1520 Dy 10.4.11 says that if the lands are taken away from the possession of the present occupants (Sovindappa & others) Rs 300 to 500 might be realised. ~~that~~ It is necessary that the lands should be resumed from them as this takrar will last till they retain possession of them.

GPB—50 000— 12 8 10

(8

erial No.

They have spent abt Rs 5257-8-0 on the land up to date according to a Statt submitted by the Amildar. The Amildar further states that when a license was applied for by Govindappa for building on the lands in question in 1890, the Municipal President while granting the license stated that the land being Inam, the buildings thereon are likely to be taken away from his possession - (License no. 187 of 3.6.90)

X H-D.

X H-N

The papers having been come up before the Sub Dy Collr Mr Venkoba Rau, this Officer while submitting them to the Dy Commr decided his opinion that as regards the compensation question raised by Mr Rama Rau, the question was before Govt when they passed the order of 1907, and he saw no reason to move Govt for a modification of that order; that as the Inam is only Enfranchised, the Inam rules have the force of law and that Sec 204 of the RC should be put in operation.

H.M. Vide his report no. 1507 of 29.4.11

The Dy Commr reports that although the strict application to the rules admits of

(D. C's report no. 16 Dy 4-9-11)

D. 370

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

of no payment of compensation, yet it would be hard upon the persons now in possession to be evicted without any consideration for the large outlay incurred by them, and that as nobody is likely to contribute towards the payt of this compensation and as the institution is not of such importance as to merit payt of compensation by Govt, the lands may be resumed by Govt under the alienation rules, ~~+ [illegible]~~ + price levied under Sec 64 of L.R.C. for appropriation of the lands without permission for non-agricultural purposes.

The Govt is also of opinion that Sec 209 of L.R.C. may be applied in the case of unauthorised alienation. and he seeks the orders of Govt whether Sec 209 of the L.R.C. may be applied + the parties evicted, or whether the lands may be resumed by Govt + brought under full assessment.

The following points are specially ~~[illegible]~~ submitted for consideration and orders:—

1) The alienees and aliens were both quite cognisant of the fact that the lands alienated were devadaya lands.

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

(10)

HRSS. 72]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mur File No. 103 of 1907-08

Serial No.

2) When in 1890 Sorindappa applied for license to build on the lands, the Municipal President distinctly said in his endt. No. 181 of 3.6.90 that the land being Inam, the buildings thereon are likely to pass away from his hands.

3) Siddayya the Pujari of the matt has been declared by the Dist. Judge of Bangalore (as he & his opponents had agreed out of court) entitled to a sum of Rs 26 per annum which the ~~Asstt~~ Sub. Dy. Officer now Remarks also says should be recovered from Sorindappa & others for payt. to the Pujari (from 1890 up to date)

4) ~~Now as to Rs~~ As the question of payt. of compensation for the loss that the present occupants will be put to by Summary eviction under the notifn. of 1877 was already before Govt. when they passed the order of 1907, that question does not now arise & should not have been brought forward again by the Asstt Comr. & by Comr.

5) There is a Mahazar of 30.8.06 to the effect that the matt is an important one having large numbers of devotees. It seems desirable that a suitable agent should be appointed.

X H Q.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,00 1—12 8 10

370 (11

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inam File No. 103 of 1907-08

Serial No.

6) The amildar of Bangalore has sent us with his report No. 705 dy 25.10.10 a munchalika drawn up by Ramaiah & others agreeing to pay Rs 300 if the lands are leased to them for one year, Rs 400 per year if given for 5 years, 500 Rs per year if for 10 years and Rs 600 per year if given on Kayamgatti tenure. This offer may probably be considered very advantageous to the matt.

Submitted for orders.

11/10/11 Submitted to Dewan through Second Counsellor

The point for the decision is whether in the circumstances of the case the lands are to be summarily resumed and made over to the Matt or whether as stated in para 3 of the D.C.'s letter in the despatch the Inam tenure is to be cancelled and the land fully assessed and the difference between the full assessment Rs. 23 (less the cess which has been exempted on account of resumption) and the jodi of Rs 6 made over as a cash grant to the Matt on the event of its being properly maintained.

It is my humble opinion that the latter course is to be followed. This has been done in

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—50 00 1—12 8 10

venue

370

(12

File No. of 19

Serial No.

many cases; but just now I remember the case of Venkatarayan Markan and appended a copy of the printed order No 548-52 MF 13-06-4 of 5.1.1909 in that case.

It must be remembered that the parties had to a Civil Court as confirmed the case, Siddaiah who is now praying for possession agreeing to accept Rs. 26 as rent.

The land was built upon long ago; and I am not sure if we can now levy any fine I think we may levy recover thirty years rent Kudayam, evict it in favour of the Matt and claim the land & building also.

I am not sure that when the first order was passed the matter was considered from all points of view If necessary the parties may be heard

A Subramanya

17 18/10/1911

I think it better to hear the parties. If they are here in Bangalore, the hearing may be before the end of this month or 1st week in Nov.

24/10/11

Hearing

Dfr. witness sutmtd.

24.10

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

1 Nov 1911

Pr[illegible] Siddayya & five others persons in possn of the property (R-)

They have all built houses on the site in dispute.

(Mr D.V. appears for them).

The order of Govt has only to be executed. Mr. D.V. Contends that no notice was given to his clients. But as they were the appellants no notice was necessary & the G.O. contains a direction that they should be informed of the decision on their petition. They deny that they got any information of this decision, but the presumption is that they did & as they have nothing to support their allegation, the contention is of no use.

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

GPB—1000 26-6-11

Serial No.

to them.

Mr. Venkataramanayya (Advr) asks for some time to trace the petns presented by them after the last four orders. This may be done.

Find out the area of the field on which the houses stand, and also how it has been treated at the revision survey

A notice shd be again given to the parties.

1/Nov 1911

Submitted with a Dft

2.11.11 RL

Issue 31.1.911

To The Dy Commr Bangalore

No. 1055 Dt 9.11.1911

Requesting information as regards the area of the field of the matt on which the houses stand, and as regards the situation of the several houses and the matt

Note — Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Revenue at. | Inam File No. 103 of 1907

Serial No.

and also enquiring how the said field has been treated at the Revision Survey.

From, the Dy. Commr., Bangalore Dist.,
Letter no 244 Dt 6.9.1913.

Submits a Sketch showing the Matt and the houses situated on the inam land and other particulars.

From the yadi of Sub Asst Supdt of Rev. Survey Dept Supdt, it will be seen that out of the total extent of the Inam land in question, an extent of 1-14-9 is treated as kharab on account of the Matt buildings and 8 gunthas separately for houses situated in the South.

The Dy has ordered on the previous page that "a notice should be again given to the parties."

Submitted. The case may be posted for 10.9.1913. hearing some day after the Dasara. either in the last week of October or in the 1st week of Nov.

BL
10/9/13

No Time may perhaps suit. Actual date will have to be fixed in consultation with the Counsel and notice issued to parties. a list of cases ready for posting should be submitted as stated. the 15th inst.

BL
13.9.13

FR– Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Gen Revenue iat. | File no. of 19

Serial No.

— to the 3rd
Dft. notice, Rubuta,

Subd to 1st Councillor
The Councillor was
pleased to say that he would inspect
the site and then pass orders in the
matter
hra
3.12.13

~~The Plaintiff who seeks the~~
The Pltff was a party to the decree under which 26 Rs rent was to be given to him. It is perhaps not quite fair that he should be given help to nullify the effects of the Compromise entered into.

The other parties who built houses &c did so at their risk. It is not possible to set aside the Jo. of 1907 & help them to keep the property in their possession. They may be allowed to remove their materials &c within 6 months. If they wish to keep their houses they should enter into Muchalka not to claim compensation at the

GPB—50 000— 12 8 10

Serial No.

end of reasonably long terms (which may now be settled) and to pay enhanced ground rent. The term may be any period up to 50 years.

The money received will not be paid to Siddayya (who is the party chiefly responsible for the alienation) but will be retained as deposit for the restitution. It may be disposed of for repairs of the building or for other charitable purposes.

The Dy Cr may be asked to report if the parties all agree to these terms.

25/2/14

2/3/14

Draft. lc 28.2.14

Submd. with a letter appl
MM(115) and
3-3-1914 5/3/14 appd
lc
10/3/14

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

ral and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 466 of 1916.

Serial No.

To. The Deputy Comr, Bangalore Distt
No 2533 D 23rd March 14.

Requests to institute the necessary enquiry and report at an early date if the parties would all agree to the above conditions and what the terms of the new mutchalika should be, which he would recommend for acceptance.

Dy. Comr's U.O. Copy. This should have been done long ago.

From, The Dy Commissioner, Bangalore Dist II, letter no 1242 dt 5.5.1917

Submits further report called for in the above. and the Amildars

The Dy. Commrs report explaining the facts of the case fully.

-197-

The persons who have built houses on the waste land have agreed to pay a rent at Rs 26/ per annum from the date of the civil court decree till the close of the current year,

Dy. 2

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB-60,000—7 11 13

MD 12,3—GPL—60 000—1 12-16—S.

Serial No.

and thereafter a ground-rent at Rs 30/- per annum for 50 years. They have also agreed to the condition that at the expiry of 50 years, they would remove their buildings and restore the land to the Mutt. A draft form of the Muchalika to be taken from them is enclosed in the records, and the Dy. Commr. reports that if approved, further action will be taken in the matter.

12

The Mutt inam forming part of Sri Hanumanthapura Village ~~is~~ appears to be a valuable land, and so far back as 1910, there were offers of annual Sulia from Rs 300 to ~~Rs~~ 500/- if the entire inam land including the chattrum and other buildings was leased out for periods ranging from 1 to 5 years and for Rs 600/- for Kayam "Kandaya" — the house-owners allege that they have spent lots of money for effecting improvements and plead their inability to pay more than 30 Rs as ground-rent.

Flag A)

For the unauthorized assignment

MD 1213—GPL—60 000—1 12-16—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 103 of 1907.

Serial No.

of agricultural land for non agricultural purposes, the Dy Commissioner reports that the Matt has to pay a fine under the L. R. C. which may be adjusted from the amount to be realized.

Submitted
AV (94)
27.8.1917.

Petition no. 396 presented by Siddappa, Agent of Sosheriah's Matt, is placed on the file — The Agent prays that a sum of Rs 728/ being the arrears due to the Matt for 28 years at Rs 26/ per annum, may be received from Jivaidappa and others, and handed over to him, and adds that as there are offers of an annual Sutra of Rs 300/, the present holders may be allowed to continue in possession of the land, if they agree to pay Rs 300/—

Submitted
AV (94)
1.9.17

Though the matter is under correspondence since 1907 it has not been finally settled. The persons who are using the Matt lands

WD 1283—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 103 of 1917.

Serial No.

unauthorisedly have not paid anything for the Matt till now

It was a point for consideration whether the present bid of Rs 30 p a for a period of 50 years can be accepted when there are higher offers for the same for shorter periods considering that the price of lands has gone up high.

The form of the Mulchalika seems to be all right. 3 additions in pencil have been suggested in the draft for approval

As regards the levy of fine on the Matt, the Deputy Commr himself seems to be competent under Sec 59 of the L R Code

ann 1) The terms offered are
3/9/17 very reasonable considering that the area built on is only 11 guntas out of the Survey number.

2) As the Instt., the rest of the cultivable area may be leased out for a number of years, after being assessed.

3) The fine to be levied by the Dy Comr will have to be paid by the persons who built.

4) The Mulchalika seems to be

In ink. pencil with the Matt agent - now if there anything about in V.P.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1803

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1 12-16—S

FS. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 163 of 1917.

Serial No.

in proper form.

Seen) 5/9/17

Put up draft. approving. The arrears received should not be made over to the Asst. agent. but invested for future use by the main, the agent. being given the use of the income. As there can be then a provision for repairs, the agent. may be given the use of the whole of the ground rent

10/9 10.9.17

LIII

Submitted with a DF. order.

W(G3) 4-10-1917

6/10/17 Seen)

6/10/17

(1) Put up clean draft. of the last page. As S 63 of L. R. Code applicable in the case of alienated lands

10/10/17. 10.10.17

(1) Clean DF. of the last page submitted (2). ~~It is reading S 63, 120 & 236 together~~ It is doubtful whether Sec 63 of L R Code applies to alienated lands or not (Vide Secs 63, 120 & 236). But

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1905

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 627 of 1917. 16

Serial No.

But Sathis L R Code clearly says that Sec 65 i e 63 of M L R Code is not applicable to alienated lands (Vide page 85 of S L R Q ann

16/10/17 §63. L R Code is not applicable to alienated lands.

Sec) D.S. 16/10/17.

1759 12/11. Submit with a draft order for approval or other orders

(Mr. member) Sec 6.10.17

Draft approved by the first member 12.11.17

B.S. D.S. 14.11.17 Sec 14.11.17

2 No. 1190-1 dt 23.11.17, from Secy Bangalore Municipal Commr, approves of the Govt's proposal to allow the present tenants to continue in possn of the built portion of the land for a period of 50 yrs on payment of an annual ground rent of 30 Rs, provided that a separate refee & fine be recoverable from the party for whom Trees & buildings on the land in q.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Pera

Rec'd & submit
with report

Rec'd. 8/4/08

Send to Dy. and
request when action
was taken in the above order.

Muz. 1]

Mug F 103-07 1

190

From

S 2

To

The Dy. Commr
Bangalore District

Dated

Despatched 12 5 08

Received

General No. 4974

No.

Enclosure No. One

Adverting To Govt
Secs. no 1311-3 dated 3d. ~
September 1904 Confirming
for reasons stated, the
Orders of the Dy. Commr
in the matter of the
resumption and restoration
of certain alienated Inam
lands belonging to the
Gadhurainas matt at

GPb—3,000- 19-9-07

Bangalore, has the honour
to forward herewith -
petition registered no 4105
dated 8th April 1908
from Siddaiya of the
said mutt complaining
that the alienated lands
have not yet been restored
to the mutt. The Dy.
Commr is requested to
report what action has
~~has since been~~
was taken on the order
cited above, and whether
the lands alienated
from the mutt have
since been actually
restored to the institution.

No 4975
Encl.

By order
11/5/08
Secretary

Ref No 105-07-3

LOVEH... 517 31-7-...

...

3 ಆಮೇಲೆ ಅವಳ ಗಂಡನಾದ ಎಲ್ಕಾನನು ಅವಳ ಸಂಗಡ ಮಲಗಿದನು. ಆಗ ಕರ್ತನು ಅವಳನ್ನು ಜ್ಞಾಪಕಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡನು. ಅವಳ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ ಅವಳ ಗರ್ಭವನ್ನು ತೆರೆದನು. ಅವಳು ಗರ್ಭವತಿಯಾಗಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ಗಂಡುಮಗನನ್ನು ಹೆತ್ತಳು. ಅವಳು ಅವನಿಗೆ ಸಮುವೇಲನೆಂದು ಹೆಸರಿಟ್ಟಳು. ಅವಳು ಕರ್ತನಿಂದ ಅವನನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಳೆಂಬ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಅವನಿಗೆ ಆ ಹೆಸರಿಟ್ಟಳು.

4 ಆಮೇಲೆ ಎಲ್ಕಾನನು ತನ್ನ ಮನೆಯವರೆಲ್ಲರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಪ್ರತಿ ವರ್ಷವೂ ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳ ಕರ್ತನಿಗೆ ಯಜ್ಞವನ್ನು ಅರ್ಪಿಸಿ ತನ್ನ ಹರಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಶೀಲೋವಿಗೆ ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಏಲಿಯನ ಇಬ್ಬರು ಪುತ್ರರಾದ ಹೊಫ್ನಿ ಮತ್ತು ಫೀನೆಹಾಸರು ಕರ್ತನ ಯಾಜಕರಾಗಿದ್ದರು. ಎಲ್ಕಾನನು ಯಜ್ಞವನ್ನು ಅರ್ಪಿಸಿದ ದಿನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವನು ತನ್ನ ಹೆಂಡತಿಯಾದ ಪೆನಿನ್ನಳಿಗೂ ಅವಳ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪುತ್ರರಿಗೂ ಅವಳ ಪುತ್ರಿಯರಿಗೂ ಭಾಗಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟನು. ಆದರೆ ಅವನು ಹನ್ನಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟನು. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಅವನು ಹನ್ನಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರೀತಿಸಿದನು. ಆದರೆ ಕರ್ತನು ಅವಳ ಗರ್ಭವನ್ನು ಮುಚ್ಚಿದ್ದನು. ಅವಳ ಎದುರಾಳಿಯು ಅವಳನ್ನು ಕೆರಳಿಸಲು ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಬಹಳ ದುಃಖವನ್ನುಂಟುಮಾಡಿದಳು. ಕರ್ತನು ಅವಳ ಗರ್ಭವನ್ನು ಮುಚ್ಚಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವಳು ಪ್ರತಿ ವರ್ಷವೂ ಹೀಗೆಯೇ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಅವಳು ಕರ್ತನ ಆಲಯಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋದಾಗಲೆಲ್ಲಾ ಅವಳು ಅವಳನ್ನು ಕೆರಳಿಸಿದಳು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವಳು ಅತ್ತು ತಿನ್ನಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಗ ಅವಳ ಗಂಡನಾದ ಎಲ್ಕಾನನು ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿದನು. ಹನ್ನಳೇ, ನೀನು ಏಕೆ ಅಳುತ್ತೀ? ನೀನು ಏಕೆ ತಿನ್ನುವುದಿಲ್ಲ? ನಿನ್ನ ಹೃದಯವು ಏಕೆ ದುಃಖಿತವಾಗಿದೆ? ನಾನು ನಿನಗೆ ಹತ್ತು ಮಂದಿ ಪುತ್ರರಿಗಿಂತ ಉತ್ತಮನಲ್ಲವೇ? ಆಮೇಲೆ ಹನ್ನಳು ಎದ್ದು ತಿನ್ನಲು ಮತ್ತು ಕುಡಿಯಲು ಶೀಲೋವಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ತನ ಆಲಯದ ಬಾಗಿಲಿನ ಬಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತಿದ್ದ ಏಲಿಯ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಂತಳು. ಆಗ ಅವಳು ಕರ್ತನಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸಿ ಬಹಳವಾಗಿ ಅತ್ತಳು. ಅವಳು ಒಂದು ಹರಕೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿ ಹೇಳಿದಳು. ಸೈನ್ಯಗಳ ಕರ್ತನೇ, ನಿನ್ನ ದಾಸಿಯ ದುಃಖವನ್ನು ನೀನು ನೋಡಿ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಜ್ಞಾಪಕಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ನಿನ್ನ ದಾಸಿಯನ್ನು ಮರೆಯದೆ ನಿನ್ನ ದಾಸಿಗೆ ಒಬ್ಬ ಗಂಡುಮಗನನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಅವನ ಜೀವಮಾನದ ದಿನಗಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ಅವನ ತಲೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಕ್ಷೌರದ ಕತ್ತಿಯು ಬರಲಾರದು. ಅವನು ದ್ರಾಕ್ಷಾರಸವನ್ನಾಗಲಿ ಮದ್ಯವನ್ನಾಗಲಿ ಕುಡಿಯದೆ ಇರುವನು. ಅವನು ಕರ್ತನಿಗೆ ಜೀವಮಾನದ ದಿನಗಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಜರೀಯನಾಗಿರುವನು. ಅವಳು ಕರ್ತನ ಸನ್ನಿಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ಹೊತ್ತು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವಾಗ ಏಲಿಯು ಅವಳ ಬಾಯನ್ನು ನೋಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು. ಹನ್ನಳು ತನ್ನ ಹೃದಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಅವಳ ತುಟಿಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಚಲಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದವು. ಆದರೆ ಅವಳ ಧ್ವನಿಯು ಕೇಳಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಏಲಿಯು ಅವಳು ಕುಡಿದಿದ್ದಾಳೆಂದು ಯೋಚಿಸಿದನು. ಏಲಿಯು ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿದನು. ಎಷ್ಟರವರೆಗೆ ನೀನು ಕುಡಿದಿರುವಿ? ನಿನ್ನ ಮದ್ಯವನ್ನು ನಿನ್ನಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕು. ಆಗ ಹನ್ನಳು ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ ಹೇಳಿದಳು. ಇಲ್ಲ, ನನ್ನ ಒಡೆಯನೇ, ನಾನು ದುಃಖಿತಳಾದ ಸ್ತ್ರೀಯಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ನಾನು ದ್ರಾಕ್ಷಾರಸವನ್ನಾಗಲಿ ಮದ್ಯವನ್ನಾಗಲಿ ಕುಡಿಯಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ನಾನು ನನ್ನ ಆತ್ಮವನ್ನು ಕರ್ತನ ಸನ್ನಿಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುರಿಸಿದೆನು. ನಿನ್ನ ದಾಸಿಯನ್ನು ಕೆಟ್ಟ ಸ್ತ್ರೀಯೆಂದು ಎಣಿಸಬೇಡ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ನಾನು ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದು ನನ್ನ ಮಹಾ ದುಃಖದಿಂದಲೂ ಕಿರಿಕಿರಿಯಿಂದಲೂ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಆಗ ಏಲಿಯು ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ ಹೇಳಿದನು. ಸಮಾಧಾನದಿಂದ ಹೋಗು. ಇಸ್ರಾಯೇಲಿನ ದೇವರು ನೀನು ಆತನಿಂದ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡ ನಿನ್ನ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ನಿನಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಿ. ಆಗ ಅವಳು ಹೇಳಿದಳು. ನಿನ್ನ ದಾಸಿಯು ನಿನ್ನ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ದಯೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಲಿ. ಆಮೇಲೆ ಸ್ತ್ರೀಯು ತನ್ನ ದಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೋಗಿ ತಿಂದಳು. ಅವಳ ಮುಖವು ಇನ್ನು ಮೇಲೆ ದುಃಖಿತವಾಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು

೧ ಸಹಿ

೨ ಸಹಿ

ಬರೆದವರು

ಸಹಿ



Muz. 1] Muz. I-103-07-4

190

From

To

The Dy Commr
Bangalore Distt.

Dated

Despatched 17.8.08

Received

General No. 721
No.

Enclosure No. One

Adverting to Govt Secs
No. 1311-3 dated 3rd Septr 1907,
Confirming the orders of the
Dy. Commissioner in the
matter of the resumption
and restoration of certain
Inam lands alienated
from the Goshtadars matt
at Bangalore and
Continuation of

G P L—3,000—19-9-07

docket no 4944 Dy Commr Mysore

has the honour to forward

herewith petition registered

no 514 dated 21st July 1908

from Basappa and a number

of other residents of Bangalore

complaining that the above

lands should not be

given to the possession of

"Kallumathada" Siddiah

who has no manner of

right to the institution

and praying that a competent

Inspr may be appointed

to the matt.

2) The Dy. Commr

is requested to ~~submit~~ enquire into the

~~a report on~~ all the allegations

contained in the above

petition, and inform the Petrs of the manner of

disposal.

By order

CSB

for Secretary

M 5780 8

W.P. 10303-09-S

matter

3-9

Heard Counsel for
both the parties
&
list on 14.11.2008

AV: Please give
on 17th as matter

14/11/08

ಸಾಲಿನ ಸಿ ಪ್ರೋಗ್ರಾಮಿಂಗ್ ಲಾಬ್ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯು

ಸಾಲಿನ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯು ದಿನಾಂಕ 14-11-08 ರಂದು

ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗಿ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 1 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಮುಕ್ತಾಯವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು 30 ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ್ದರು.

ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ತೊಂದರೆ

ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಸಹ ಉತ್ಸಾಹದಿಂದ

ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು ಎದುರಿಸಿದರು.

Dft as per order,

G.R.S. 29A] Jmis/F 103-07-6

190 .

From

Secy. to the Govt of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy Commr
Bangalore Distt

Dated

Despatched 10/11/1908

Received

General No. 271

~~No.~~

10 ENCLOSURE No.

In Continuation of the marginally-noted Communications from this office has the honor to forward herewith petition regd no D 151 dated 11th November 1908 from Kallu mathada Siddiah of Bangalore stating that - Though more than a year has passed since the issue of the order directing the restoration of certain Inam lands alienated from the Godhuvarh's math at -

No. 4974 Dy 12-5-1908
11-721 Dy 14-8-1908

GPB--10,000—9-4 06.

Bangalore, he has not been
put in possession of the
property and to request
that the submission of
the report called for on
the subject may be expedited.

By order,
for Secretary
14/8/08

VIII 6/6

DIARY No. 370

1911-1912

SECTION Miscl.

FILE No. 103-07

SERIAL No. 7

19

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to Government
General & Rev: Depts:
(Inam Branch)

Dated 4th
Despatched 5th } September 1911.
Received

General No.
In S. No. 16

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submits a detailed report of action taken in the matter of restoration of the alienated Inam lands once belonging to the Godhuraiyas of Uttarahalli Bangalore

Continue note.
RL 6/9/11 8/9/11
AV

Commissioners Office
Bangalore District.
Dated Bangalore 4th Sept: 1911.

From

B. J. Kumarasawmy Naik Esqre B.A.
Deputy Commissioner.
Bangalore District.

To

C. C. Balasundaram Iyer Esqre B.A.
Offg. Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

(C 127)

With reference to your docket No. 4,774 dated the 15th May 1908, forwarding the petition of Siddiah of the Godhurry as Mutt at Bangalore, complaining that the alienated Inam lands have not been restored to the Mutt, as per Government Order No: 1311-3 dated the 5th September 1907, and calling for a report, as to what action was taken in the matter,

I

I have the honor to state that, the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Bangalore Sub Division was asked to take steps, for the resumption of the lands from the aliences, and to restore them to the Mutt. Notices were issued by the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk, calling upon the Aliences to give up the lands, for restoration of the same to the Mutt. Three of the principle aliences, viz, Sattadi Govindappa, Tillappa, and Syed Dawood Saib, refused to vacate the lands, and claimed compensation for the houses &c. built on the Inam lands. Mahomed Phaneddulla Saib, one of the aliences, offered no resistance, but requested for time. The Amildar in vain solicited orders for the issue of a warrant of arrest against the refractory aliences, the Amildar...

who sent up the records for orders, was requested to take action under section 209, of the Land Revenue Code

2. In reply the Senior Assistant Commissioner (Mr. L. Rama Rao) stated as follows:-

"(a). The lands have, as per orders passed, to be resumed and restored to the Inamdar, under Clause 2. of the Notification No: 266 of 1871-. But under para 5 of the said Notification, effect cannot be given indiscriminately in the present case, to the orders contained in the said Notification of 1871-; as there are houses &c, constructed on the land in question, and as the aliener's claim for compensation seems to be reasonable.

(b). As at the general rulings under section 61 of the Bombay Land Revenue Code, which corresponds to section 59 of the Bijapur Land Revenue Code, which provides for action

for unauthorized occupation of unalienated lands, it is clear & stated that this section does not authorize summary eviction of a person from any land which he may be in unauthorizedly occupied prior to the passing of the Code; or that in such cases, the remedy would be by civil suits. (Vide Bombay Revenue Code). As the Aliences have been reported to be in the possession of the lands and buildings erected thereon, from a very long time, the provisions of section 202 of the Land Revenue Code, do not apply to the present case.

(c). Assuming that section 202 of the Land Revenue Code is applicable, in the present case, it is doubtful whether the power of issuing warrant of arrest contemplated in the said section, may be exercised by an officer

U

than the Deputy Commissioner; as, under section 163 of the Land Revenue Code, which corresponds with section 57 of the Bombay Land Revenue Code, it is only the Deputy Commissioner that could exercise the power of issuing a warrant of arrest, and not the Assistant Commissioner, except when specially empowered.

(d). Petitioner Siddiah having instituted a Civil Suit against the aliences, for the declaration of his title to the properties, and also for their possession, the District Judge held that the defendants were tenants in possession of the lands, and decreed in terms of a Rajinama filed by the parties, that the defendant-tenants do pay annually to the plaintiff Poojari, the sum of Rs: 20/- as rent of the lands; and therefore the petitioner is not entitled to anything more, without a civil action in the matter."

(3) I beg to state that the question raised by the Assistant Commissioner, regarding the payment of compensation to the alienees, requires consideration; and further, whether the extreme steps of arresting the aliences may be taken to enforce eviction in the present case, has to be decided.- The lands being situated within the Bangalore City limits, are of great value. The aliences have built a number of houses, and constructed wells therein, all estimated to cost Rs: 5,251-8-0. They claim compensation, on the ground that they obtained the lands for valuable consideration and constructed houses &c at a great cost. By the strict application of the rules, they are not entitled to anything; as the documents produced by them, show that they were not ignorant that the land was Devadaya Inam. &c.

to evict people from the dwelling houses constructed by them long ago, at a great cost, without any compensation, seems to be rather hard upon them. It is complained that there has not been a duly installed Ayya or Guru in the Mutt, for the last 20 or 25 years: and that no religious performances are conducted in the Mutt.

It is also reported that the Mutt is in ruins. Neither the petitioner nor the community at large, have come forward to restore the Mutt, or taken any active steps for the appointment of a Guru for the conduct of religious performances. Nor are they likely to contribute towards the repairs of the Mutt.

The institution, under the present circumstances, does not appear to be of such importance, as to require the payment by Government, of a

the only feasible course appears to me is to resume the land to Govern... and bring the same under the alienation rules, and fine, under section 61 (1) (a) Revenue Code, for the appropriation of the land without [illegible] for non-agricultural [illegible]

(4). As regards the second [illegible] that the provisions of section 209 not apply to the present case that a Civil Suit is necessary, I do think that this argument holds good, because the procedure prescribed in that section, may be followed, not only in cases of eviction provided by the provisions of the Land Revenue Code, but also by any other law, for the time being in force.

There does not seem to be any legal objection for enforcing the provisions of section 209, a

of unauthorized occupation of Devadaya Inam lands also; as the alienation rules of 1877, have the force of law.

The third point is rather immaterial; and I can only say that there is no objection to the powers of arrest being exercised by an Assistant Commissioner in charge of a sub Division; who, could under the Land Revenue Code, exercise all the powers of a Deputy-Commissioner: except in cases, where his powers are specifically limited.

As to the fourth point that the petitioner is entitled only to the rents ordered to be paid in the Civil Court decrees, the Civil Court decisions are mere judgements passed upon the merits of the plaintiff's suit, and it does not give either party any absolute title to the

the land in question, or establish any right as against Government.

In the above circumstances, I solicit instructions of Government, re. whether the provisions of section 209 of the Land Revenue Code are applied, and eviction effected without payment of compensation to be paid by the alienees of the land: or whether the land may be resumed to Government, and brought under full assessment &c. - as stated in para 3 of the vernacular file of correspondence ending with Bangalore Sub Collector's Office Memo No: 697 dated 29th Sept. 1911, as herewith submitted for reference and obtaining orders.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most Obedient

and Humble Servant

for Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore Dist.

as per order,

G. S. 26] 37/37/11

File No. 103

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to ____

No. 1055 E-1 file
Minr 103-07

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 19 .

To

The Dy Commr,
Bangalore Dist

Date of issue 9-11-1911

Signed by order & countersigned

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no 16 Dy 6th Septr 11 reporting the action taken on Govt Pro no 1311-3 Dy 3d Septr 1907 directing the restoration of the Inam lands alienated from Godhuriah's matt at Bangalore, I am directed to request

over.

SUBJECT.

That a sketch showing clearly the area of the field of the matt which the houses stand, situation of the several houses and the matt, and other particulars may kindly be submitted together with information as to how the said field has been treated at the revision Survey & the records are herewith returned for ref. and re-subn

I have the

(W.O. order) 3/11/1911

for Offg. Secretary

4/11/11

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by.

GPB—20,000—25-9 10.26

VII

From 21/5 7th 191

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

555

DIARY No.

19/3-14

SECTION Mus

No. 103-07

No.

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore,
Genl & Rev. Depts,
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 6-9-13

Received

General No. S. 244

No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Re Submitting the
records relating to the
restoration of the Inam
lands alienated from the
Godhesiahs Mutt at
Bangalore.

GPB- 50,000—26-9 12

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
BANGALORE DISTRICT,
DATED, BANGALORE 6 Sept 1913.

From,

K-Chundy Esq.r, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,

K-R-Srinivasa Iyengar Esq.r B.A.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.
(Mujrai)

Sir

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No 1055/Muj 7103-07, dated the 9th November 1911, regarding the restoration of the Inam lands alienated from Godhuriah's Mutt at Bangalore, I have the honour to resubmit the records, together with a sketch showing the mutt and the houses situated on the Inam land and the extent occupied by them, called for in the above.

2 From the yadi No 2260 IV dated the 26th November 1912 received from the Assistant Superintendent of Revenue

Revenue Survey Department,
it will be seen, that out
of the total extent of the
Inam Land in Question,
an extent of one acre and
fourteen Guntas is treated
as Kharab on account of
the mutt building, the channel
the houses and the well, and
8 Guntas separately for houses
situated in the South

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

K. Mundy

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Further reports about the inam lands alienated from the Godhumaiah's matt at Bangalore

File No. 103/1907

Serial No.

1913

Diary No. of letter replied to 555

No. 2533 Emp file

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191

Date of issue 23-3-1914

Signed by W.L. Aravamudhachar

To

The Dy Commissioner,

Bangalore District

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no 246 Dy 6th September 1913 reporting on the petition of Kallumathada Siddiah of Bangalore complaining that the inam lands alienated from the Godhumaiya's matt at Bangalore have not been restored to the matt as directed in Govt Pro. no 1311-3 dated

over.

SUBJECT.

3rd September 1907, I am directed as follows,
to state ~~that the Case was heard~~
by Court on the 3rd Decr. 1913,
the contending parties being present
~~in person - and to observe as follows~~ -

(2). The petitioner Siddiah was
a party to the decree under
which 26 ~~Rs~~ rent was to be
given to him. It is perhaps not
quite fair that he should be
given help to nullify the effects
of the Compromise entered
into.

3. The other parties who built
houses etc did so at their
risk It is not possible to
set aside the Court order of
September 1907 to help them
to keep the property in their
possession. They may be allowed
to remove their materials etc.
within 6 months If they wish
to keep their houses, they should
enter into Muchalikas not to

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8 8-11.

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

claim Compensation at the end of reasonably long terms (which may now be settled) and to pay enhanced ground rent the Term may be any period up to 50 years

4. The money received will not be paid to Siddaik (who is the party chiefly responsible for the alienation) but will be retained in deposit for the trust - It may be disposed of for repairs of the building or for other charitable purposes.

5. I am directed to request you to ~~be so good as to~~ ~~reply~~ ~~report~~ institute the ~~after~~ necessary enquiry - and report - at - at early date; if the parties ~~all agree~~ would agree to the ~~these terms~~ terms continuing

and what - the terms upon which he would recommend for acceptance

6 The Vernacular papers are herewith

I have etc

Lee
15/5.

Returned for reference and obliging returns

5/21/14 for Secretary

(Cis-aster)

GPB—12 000—15-2-13

191

Rec'd

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dist

1805



To

The Joint Secretary to Govt of
Mysore, Mysore Dept -
Bangr

Dated 4 May 1917

Despatched

Received

General No. C 1242

No.

Enclosure No. One file.

Subject

Submits further information
Re the alienated portion of
Devadayam land endowed
to the Govdhuriah's mutt
at Bangalore

(PB—5,76,000—6.12

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
Dated Bangalore 4th May, 1917.

From

Mohammed Zahiruddin Mecci, Esqre BA
Senior Assistant Commissioner
In charge Bangalore District

To

G Narasimha Iyengar Esquire, BA BL
Joint Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 2533 - Muz F 103-07 Dated 23rd March 1914 Calling for further information in regard to the alienated portion of the Devadayam land endowed to the Gowdhumah's mutt at Bangalore, I have the honor to State that Survey No. 23 of the Hanumanthapura village, measuring 8 Kolagas and 2 padis is a Devadayam Inam land granted for the Support of the mutt in question. The map No. 23 was during the Original Survey given Survey No. 22 and the extent as per Survey measurements was 7 acres 2 guntas of which 1 acre 22 guntas was plut Kharab During the Re-Survey in 1906-07,

The

The land (Original Survey No: 22) has been numbered as 13 measuring 7 acres 2 guntas of which 1-22 is shown as phut Kharab - The area shown as phut Kharab contains the mull, a channel, one or two houses and a well These buildings are quite distinct from those which have recently unauthorizedly sprung up on the Re-Survey No 13 in question -

2- Deducting the phut Kharab the cultivable area of Re-Survey No 13 is 5 acres 20 guntas (Dry 2-24 and garden 2 acres 06 guntas) with a Survey assessment of Rs 22/- Of this portion of the land, the marginally noted 7 persons have in their possession 20,810 Sqr feet (or a little over 11 guntas) of land, and have irregularly raised some buildings thereon - These persons have now agreed to pay a ground rent of Rs 30/- per annum for the total area (dividing the amount among themselves in proportion to the respective areas in their possession -) and pray that they may be allowed to remain in possession of the land for fifty years They have also agreed to the condition that at the expiry of 50 years they would remove their buildings and

1. Syed Dawood sab 1859 Sqr ft
2 Ayzamedullea sab 2747 Sqr ft
3 yellappa 100 "
4. Munnamma-272 "
5 Jaeyellappa 761 "
6. Pallada Gowdappa 14,603 Sq ft
7 Jaka — 466
20,810 Sqr ft

restore

restore the land to the mutt A draft form of the muchalika to be taken from them is enclosed in the file of-Vernacular papers, and if approved further action will be taken in the matter

3 In this Connection I beg to submit that an extent of -1 acre 5 guntas 50 $\frac{2}{3}$ Square yards of land out of the Inam land in question was acquired by Government for a public purpose (ie for opening a conservancy lane) under Government notification No. 7398-400 ml 256-09-2 Dated 7th March 1917, and in lieu thereof, the mutt was given a land in the Nagadi Taluk (Vide Government Order No. R 5403-5 LR 215-13-2 Dated 16th January 1914). The cultivable area that is now left is 4 acres 4 guntas 159 $\frac{1}{3}$ Square yards of which 11 guntas have been utilized for building purposes as detailed in para 2 Supra For this unauthorised assignment of Agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes, the mutt has to pay a fine under the Land Revenue Code The persons who have built houses have agreed to pay a rent at ~~Rs~~ Rs 26/- per annum from the date of the

Civil

the Civil Court decree till the close of the current year and thereafter a ground rent at Rs. 30/- per annum for 50 years. Out of the amount to be thus realised the fine payable by the mill may be adjusted and the balance credited to the mutt funds.

The file of vernacular papers ending with the Bangalore Taluk Amildars No. 3 Dated 5th July 1916 is herewith submitted for reference and kind return when no longer required

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.

M. Srinivasalu
for Senior Assistant Commissioner
In charge Bangalore District
(Absent from the Station)

8/7-18

SECTION Inspection

FILE NO.

By ...

Reference ...

3/1/47

ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಪರಿಷತ್ತು — ಪತ್ರಿಕೆ

ಸಂಪುಟ ೧೬ ಸಂಚಿಕೆ ೧

ಕನ್ನಡ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯು ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಲು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗಿ ಹದಿನಾರು ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಸಂದಿವೆ. ಈ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅದು ಹಲವು ಬಾರಿ ಸ್ವರೂಪ ಬದಲಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದೆ. ಆರಂಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದು ಕೇವಲ ಸಭೆಗಳ ವರದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಕ್ರಮೇಣ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಲೇಖನಗಳು ಸೇರಿಕೊಂಡವು. ಈಗ ಅದು ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ವಿಮರ್ಶೆಯ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ವೇದಿಕೆಯಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಸಂಚಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಳಗನ್ನಡ ಕಾವ್ಯದ ಕುರಿತು ಮೂರು ಲೇಖನಗಳಿವೆ. ಮೊದಲನೆಯದು ಪಂಪನ ಆದಿಪುರಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ವರ್ಣನೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಯನ. ಎರಡನೆಯದು ರನ್ನನ ಗದಾಯುದ್ಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಭೀಮನ ಪಾತ್ರ ಚಿತ್ರಣ. ಮೂರನೆಯದು ಜನ್ನನ ಅನಂತನಾಥ ಪುರಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುವ ಛಂದೋವೈವಿಧ್ಯ.

ಪಂಪನ ವರ್ಣನೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿಯು ಕೇವಲ ಹಿನ್ನೆಲೆಯಾಗಿ ಉಳಿಯದೆ ಪಾತ್ರಗಳ ಮನಃಸ್ಥಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಬಿಂಬಿಸುವ ಸಾಧನವಾಗಿ ಬಳಕೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ, ಆದಿನಾಥನ ಜನನದ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಯೋಧ್ಯೆಯ ಸುತ್ತಮುತ್ತಲಿನ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿಯು ಸಂಭ್ರಮದಿಂದ ಕೂಡಿರುವಂತೆ ವರ್ಣಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದು ಕಥಾವಸ್ತುವಿಗೆ ಪೂರಕವಾಗಿದೆ.

ರನ್ನನ ಭೀಮನು ಬಲಶಾಲಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದರೂ ಭಾವುಕ ಜೀವಿ. ದ್ರೌಪದಿಯ ಅವಮಾನದ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವನ ಕೋಪವು ಸಹಜವಾಗಿ ಮೂಡಿಬಂದಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅವನು ಧರ್ಮರಾಯನ ಮಾತಿಗೆ ಬೆಲೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ತನ್ನ ಆವೇಶವನ್ನು ನಿಯಂತ್ರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಪರಿಯು ಅವನ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿತ್ವದ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಮುಖವನ್ನು ತೋರಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

ಜನ್ನನ ಕಾವ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಂಗತ್ಯ, ಕಂದ, ವೃತ್ತ ಮತ್ತು ಚಂಪೂ ಶೈಲಿಗಳ ಸುಂದರ ಸಮ್ಮಿಲನವಿದೆ. ಒಂದೇ ಕಾವ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಇಷ್ಟೊಂದು ವೈವಿಧ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕಾಣುವುದು ಅಪರೂಪ. ಇದು ಕವಿಯ ಪಾಂಡಿತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಸಂಚಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯದ ಕುರಿತು ಎರಡು ಲೇಖನಗಳಿವೆ. ಒಂದು ಕುವೆಂಪು ಅವರ ಕಾದಂಬರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿಯ ಚಿತ್ರಣ. ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಬೇಂದ್ರೆಯವರ ಭಾವಗೀತೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರೇಮದ ಅಭಿವ್ಯಕ್ತಿ.

ಕುವೆಂಪು ಅವರ ಕಾದಂಬರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿಯು ಕೇವಲ ಹಿನ್ನೆಲೆಯಾಗಿ ಉಳಿಯದೆ ಪಾತ್ರಗಳ ಮನಃಸ್ಥಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಬಿಂಬಿಸುವ ಸಾಧನವಾಗಿ ಬಳಕೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ, ಆದಿನಾಥನ ಜನನದ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಯೋಧ್ಯೆಯ ಸುತ್ತಮುತ್ತಲಿನ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿಯು ಸಂಭ್ರಮದಿಂದ ಕೂಡಿರುವಂತೆ ವರ್ಣಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದು ಕಥಾವಸ್ತುವಿಗೆ ಪೂರಕವಾಗಿದೆ.

ರನ್ನನ ಭೀಮನು ಬಲಶಾಲಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದರೂ ಭಾವುಕ ಜೀವಿ. ದ್ರೌಪದಿಯ ಅವಮಾನದ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವನ ಕೋಪವು ಸಹಜವಾಗಿ ಮೂಡಿಬಂದಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅವನು ಧರ್ಮರಾಯನ ಮಾತಿಗೆ ಬೆಲೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ತನ್ನ ಆವೇಶವನ್ನು ನಿಯಂತ್ರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಪರಿಯು ಅವನ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿತ್ವದ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಮುಖವನ್ನು ತೋರಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

ಜನ್ನನ ಕಾವ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಂಗತ್ಯ, ಕಂದ, ವೃತ್ತ ಮತ್ತು ಚಂಪೂ ಶೈಲಿಗಳ ಸುಂದರ ಸಮ್ಮಿಲನವಿದೆ. ಒಂದೇ ಕಾವ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಇಷ್ಟೊಂದು ವೈವಿಧ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕಾಣುವುದು ಅಪರೂಪ. ಇದು ಕವಿಯ ಪಾಂಡಿತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಸಂಚಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯದ ಕುರಿತು ಎರಡು ಲೇಖನಗಳಿವೆ. ಒಂದು ಕುವೆಂಪು ಅವರ ಕಾದಂಬರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿಯ ಚಿತ್ರಣ. ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಬೇಂದ್ರೆಯವರ ಭಾವಗೀತೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರೇಮದ ಅಭಿವ್ಯಕ್ತಿ.

Restoration orders in favour of the Inam land endowed to Sodhuraiya math at Bangalore

9/2

164

File No 604
Serial No. 516

Diary No. of letter replied to 1801

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, *the* 191 .

READ—

Govt Pro. no. 1311-3 dd 3rd sept 1907 directing the restoration of certain lands in the possession of Pattadu

Date of issue 23rd Nov. 17

Signed by Mr. [illegible]

this be

ORDER THEREON.—No.

printed?

Govindappa of Bangalore and some others, to the Sodhuraiya math at Bangalore

treated as a standing order?

published in the Gazette English part?

Correspondence ending with letter no 1242 & 5th ult

translated?

placed at the disposal of the Press?

published in the Gazette Kannada part?

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000 1 212

May 1917 from the Dy. Commr, Bangalore Dist, reporting that Palladi Ivvidappa and 6 others refd. to have raised some buildings on an extent of 20,810 sq. ft or a little over 11 guntas, ~~out of the~~ of the Survey No 13 of the Hanumanthapura village, Malt inam land, for which they now agree to pay a ground-rent of Rs 30/- per annum for 50 years from the current year 1917-18 and arrears of rent at Rs 26/- per annum from the date of the Civil Court decree and also to remove their buildings at the expiry of that period and restore the land to the Malt and submitting, for approval, the draft of a muchalika to be executed by them ~~and adding that further orders will be taken on appeal by first~~

Under Rules — No 1190-91 Enc. VI
MWR 604-16-2
23.11.17

G.R.S. 27

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

—Under the circumstances reported, Govt approve of the proposal to allow the present tenants to continue in possession of the portion built upon for a period of 50 years from this date on payment of an annual ground-rent of Rs 30/-. The draft of the muchalika to be executed is approx

2) The arrears of rent to be recovered at Rs 26/- per annum should be collected and invested for the future uses of the Math. The income accruing from the investment and the annual rent recoverable from the owners of buildings will be made over to the Math Agent for the services and other requirements of

~~As the amount now payable~~

to the Matt represents only 3) A separate
the ground rent, the Matt for the orders of S.
cannot be held liable to Rent to be made on
pay any fine that may be fine leviable from
levied for the unauthorized Matt for the unauth
~~erection of buildings~~. erection of buildings
on the Crown land
in question

See
16. 10. '1
Asst Surv. Secty.

clear
DR

No, 12/10/1
The Dpty Commr, Bangalore Dist,
re his. The record.

Chief Man

GPB—20,000—16 2 14